## Effects of Salinity on Early Life Stages of the Gulf Coast Toad, Incilius nebulifer (Anura: Bufonidae)

# Laura G. Alexander<sup>1</sup>, Simon P. Lailvaux<sup>1</sup>, Joseph H. K. Pechmann<sup>2</sup>, and Philip J. DeVries<sup>1</sup>

Anuran amphibian populations worldwide are in decline due to a variety of factors including habitat destruction, climate change, disease, introduction of non-native species, and environmental contamination. We conducted a laboratory trial with *Incilius nebulifer* (synonym: *Bufo nebulifer*) to determine at what level salinity negatively affects hatching and metamorphosis, and how exposure to salinity during development affects metamorph characteristics that influence adult fitness. Embryos exhibited 95.5–99.5% hatching success at salinities of 0, 2, and 4 parts per thousand (ppt); 74.4% success at 6 ppt; and no hatching at 8 or 10 ppt. Salinity affected hatching success and larval survival, and we found linear trends between higher salinity and lower fractions of hatched embryos and living larvae. The odds of hatching were about the same for 0, 2, and 4 ppt, significantly lower for 6 ppt, and zero for 8 and 10 ppt. The odds of survival to metamorphosis were significantly lower in 6 ppt relative to 0, 2, and 4 ppt combined. Time to metamorphosis, mass, and hind limb length of recent metamorphs showed significant differences among treatment groups, with salinity having large effects on these variables. Development time was longer, mass was lower, and hind limb length was shorter in the 0 and 2 ppt treatments compared to 4 or 6 ppt. We showed that salinity affected the survival of early life stages of *Incilius nebulifer* and characteristics that have been linked to adult fitness. Our study suggests that low levels of salinity may affect the survival and fitness of other anurans.

NURAN amphibian populations worldwide are in decline due to a variety of factors including habitat destruction, climate change, disease, introduction of non-native species, and environmental contamination (Blaustein and Kiesecker, 2002; Peterson et al., 2002; Stuart et al., 2004). Susceptibility to these factors differs among species and populations (Christman, 1974; Bridges and Semlitsch, 2000; Blaustein and Kiesecker, 2002; Gomez-Mestre and Tejedo, 2003; Karraker and Ruthig, 2009; but see Langhans et al., 2009), and depends in part on environmental conditions such as water chemistry (Blaustein and Kiesecker, 2002). Salinity is one parameter of water chemistry that influences the survival, development, and fitness of amphibians, and thus may shape their diversity, distribution, and abundance (Munsey, 1972; Dunson, 1977; Gomez-Mestre and Tejedo, 2005; Smith et al., 2007; Haramura, 2008; Rios-Lopez, 2008).

Most anurans have small migratory ranges compared to other vertebrates, often dispersing no more than 1000 m from their natal sites (Sinsch, 1990), so selection of breeding site depends on the aquatic habitats available within narrow spatial and temporal windows. (Noland and Ultsch, 1981; Sinsch, 1990). Some species choose oviposition sites partly on the basis of salinity (Haramura, 2008), which is a limiting factor for breeding and development even for apparently saline-tolerant species (Beebee, 1979; Andren and Nilson, 1985; Viertel, 1999; Haramura, 2007). Any given species often requires specific environmental conditions for development and metamorphosis of embryos and larvae (Noland and Ultsch, 1981; Werner et al., 2009). Thus, the distribution of adult anurans depends largely on the location of suitable oviposition sites and on the ability of embryos and larvae to survive, develop, and metamorphose at those sites.

Aquatic habitats in coastal areas can experience highly variable incursions of saline water from tides, land subsidence, receding coastlines, and storms, as well as from land clearing, river regulation, agriculture, de-icing, and construction of levees and canals (Odum, 1988; Allen et al., 1994; Christy and Dickman, 2002; Pardue et al., 2005; Doyle et al., 2007; Petranka and Doyle, 2010). Salinity tolerance in sensitive embryonic and larval stages is therefore particularly important to the survival and distribution of anurans in coastal areas. Although multifactorial field observations help to determine the causes of declining amphibian populations (Blaustein and Kiesecker, 2002), experiments that manipulate one or more environmental parameters are necessary to demonstrate causation (Kefford et al., 2004). A wide variety of salinity trials are represented in the literature, although the results can be difficult to compare due to different methods and salt sources, developmental stages, species, and salinity units (Table 1). To facilitate the comparison of results from the literature, all units were converted to the concentration-based unit parts per thousand (ppt) for the current discussion. The present study used controlled laboratory experiments and a commercially available seawater substitute to determine effects of salinity on survival of embryos and larvae and on characteristics of recent metamorphs of the Gulf Coast Toad, Incilius nebulifer (synonym: Bufo nebulifer).

Incilius nebulifer is a freshwater species native to the Gulf Coast, from southern Arkansas to Veracruz, Mexico (Mulcahy and Mendelson, 2000). It breeds in temporary water bodies including roadside and irrigation ditches, and coastal marsh pools (Dundee and Rossman, 1989; Hammerson and Canseco-Márquez, 2004), and is assumed to be somewhat salt-tolerant. The population in the present study is not regularly exposed to salt water and, therefore, provides a relatively powerful test of the ability of this species to tolerate future salinity increases. In a two-phase laboratory experiment, we used salinity treatments representing the range found in the local coastal area to ask at what level salinity negatively affects hatching and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of New Orleans, Department of Biological Sciences, 2000 Lakeshore Drive, New Orleans, Louisiana 70148; E-mail: (LGA) lgalexan@uno.edu. Send reprint requests to LGA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Western Carolina University, Natural Science Building 132, Cullowhee, North Carolina 28723; E-mail: jpechmann@email.wcu.edu.

Submitted: 6 November 2009. Accepted: 17 November 2011. Associate Editor: K. Martin.

<sup>© 2012</sup> by the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists 🖨 DOI: 10.1643/CP-09-206

metamorphosis of this species, and how exposure to salinity during embryonic and larval development affects metamorph characteristics that may influence adult reproductive fitness.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Experimental animals and culture conditions.*—Three amplectant pairs of *I. nebulifer* were collected from a ditch in Audubon Park, an urban golf course and public park in New Orleans, LA, USA, during July 2006. There is no evidence of any salt water incursion in this area; the park did not flood during Hurricane Katrina in 2005.

Each pair was placed in a separate bucket of water from the site and allowed to deposit and fertilize their eggs. The adults were then released. The eggs were not assessed for developmental stage at the time they were assigned to treatments. However, all were laid within the same threehour time span, and assigned to treatments on the same day they were laid.

Three groups of 20–25 embryos from each clutch of eggs were haphazardly assigned to each of six salinity treatments, giving a total of 54 groups (3 clutches  $\times$  3 blocks  $\times$  6 salinities). The salinity treatments were 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 parts per thousand (ppt), corresponding to approximately 0, 5.7, 11.4, 17.1, 22.9, and 28.6% seawater (% sw), respectively, where 100% sw is 35 ppt. This salinity range reflects that found in the Gulf coastal marshes and the nearby estuarine system of Lake Pontchartrain (Sikora and Kjerfve, 1985; Doyle et al., 2007). Treatments were prepared using dechlorinated tap water and artificial sea salt (Instant Ocean, Aquarium Systems, Inc., Mentor, OH), which provides the same ionic proportions as seawater (Neiheisel and Young, 1992). Salinity of each treatment was confirmed using a YSI-85 Oxygen Conductivity Salinity and Temperature meter (YSI, Yellow Springs, OH).

During Phase I of the experiment, embryos were held in opaque white plastic containers, with a mean and SD of 21.9  $\pm$  0.61 embryos per container (Table 2). A total of 1183 embryos were tested. Each treatment group was held in approximately 237 mL of water, beneath full-spectrum lights on a 12:12 h light:dark cycle in a temperaturecontrolled room at 21.6  $\pm$  0.5°C. Containers were checked daily, and the water level was kept constant until all larvae had hatched and were free-swimming on Day 7. Dead embryos and larvae were removed when found, and embryo capsules were removed from containers in which all embryos had hatched. Phase I of the experiment ended on Day 7 when the larvae were at Gosner stage 25 (Gosner, 1960) and the fate of embryos (hatched or died) was recorded.

Larvae used in Phase II were haphazardly selected from those alive on Day 7. Due to 100% mortality in the Phase I treatments of 8 and 10 ppt, Phase II included only 0, 2, 4, and 6 ppt treatments. Groups of four larvae were assigned to the same block and salinity treatment in which they had hatched, giving a total of 144 larvae (4 individuals  $\times$  3 clutches  $\times$  3 blocks  $\times$  4 salinities). Larvae were held individually in approximately 250 mL of water in clear plastic cups under the same light and temperature conditions used for embryos. Water level was kept constant, and complete water changes were made every other day when 25.6  $\pm$  1.9 mg of food was added. The food was a 3:1 ratio (by mass) of Geisler Superior Nutrition Diet Rabbit Food (Sergeant's Pet Care Products, Inc.) and TetraMin Tropical Flakes Clear Water Formula (Tetra Holding [U.S.], Inc.) ground into a powder.

Individual larvae were checked daily, and the emergence of a forelimb was considered the beginning of metamorphosis, whereupon the time to metamorphosis was recorded in days (hereafter referred to as time) and the individual was removed from the salinity trial. Water depth was reduced to 1 cm of dechlorinated fresh water, and a small wad of untreated brown paper towel was added for the metamorph to sit on. Each metamorph was held under the same fullspectrum light and temperature conditions as in the larval stage until the tail was absorbed. Individuals were then blotted and weighed to the nearest 0.01 mg, and snout-tovent length (SVL) and hind limb length (HLL) were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm using a caliper.

Statistical analyses .-- The hypotheses that salinity affected hatching success in Phase I and larval survival in Phase II were tested using the chi-square test and the chi-square test for trend. In this study, embryo and larval survival are given as proportions, and the appropriate way to express the significance of differences between proportions is to use odds ratios (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995). Odds ratios show the probability of an event happening in one treatment relative to another, and can be compared using the chi-square distribution. For Phase I, we used the Mantel-Haenszel test of homogeneity of odds ratios to ask whether the odds of hatching were the same in each treatment relative to the treatment in which the greatest proportion of embryos successfully hatched. For Phase II, we computed the chisquare distributed single squared difference over the estimated variance and used it to ask whether there was a difference in larval survival among salinity levels.

To test for a correlation between mass and the other three variables (time, SVL, and HLL) in Phase II, we performed a Pearson correlation test followed by a comparison of slopes and intercepts equivalent to an Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA). Chi-square, correlation, and covariance tests were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.03 (Graph-Pad Software, San Diego, CA; www.graphpad.com). The hypothesis that mean time, mass, and HLL varied among salinity treatments was tested using Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA; R version 2.11.1). We power transformed the time data for normality, and included block and clutch as random factors. Data for 17 larvae and 4 metamorphs that died during Phase II were omitted. Univariate ANOVAs were conducted for significant MAN-OVA results, and effect sizes were calculated by dividing the Sum of Squares for each factor by the total Sum of Squares (Cohen, 1988).

#### RESULTS

**Embryonic hatching success.**—In total, 724 embryos (61.2%) hatched and produced larvae that survived to Day 7 (Table 2). There was a significant effect of salinity on hatching success ( $\chi^2 = 955.2$ , P < 0.001), and a significant linear trend between salinity and the fraction of embryos that hatched ( $\chi^2 = 759.3$ , P < 0.001). Fewer embryos hatched in 6 ppt (74.4%) than in the lower salinities (95.5–99.5%), and none hatched at 8 or 10 ppt. The odds of successfully hatching were highest in 2 ppt, with the odds of hatching 6.2 times better than in 0 ppt, 9.4 times better than in 4 ppt, and 68.5 times better than in 6 ppt. We used 2 ppt as the reference for the test of homogeneity of odds

Family	Species	Developmental stage	Salinity treatments	Salinity effects	Saline	Reference
Bufonidae	Epidalea calamita	embryos and larvae from stage 10 <sup>g</sup>	2 to 12 g/L total dissolved solids and a freshwater control	Salinity caused lower rates of survival, development, and growth. Under brackish conditions, the saline population showed	þr	Gomez-Mestre and Tejedo, 2003
Bufonidae	Epidalea calamita	larvae from stage $25^8$	4, 85, and 140 mOsm	Survivorship and weight did not differ; larvae	br	Jomez-Mestre
Bufonidae	Rhinella marina	fertilized embryos (unknown stage)	5 to 50% sw	took longer to metamorphose in $>$ 4 mUsm. Salinity caused lower hatching success and higher larval mortality. Embryos did not hatch or develop normally in $> 15\%$ sw.	SW	et al., 2004 Ely, 1944
Bufonidae, Dicroglossidae, Microhylidae, and Rhacophorida	Duttaphrynus melanostictus; Fejervarya limnocharis; Kaloula pulchra and e Microhyla ornata; and Polypedates meaacephalus	larvae (within 8 h of hatching)	0 to 19% sw (100% sw = 35 g/L = 35 ppt)	Salinity caused decreased size and survival in the two microhylids ( <i>K. pulchra</i> and <i>M. ornata</i> ), but not in the other three species.	MS	ćarraker et al., 2010
Bufonidae, and Leptodactylidae	Rhinella marina; and Leptodactylus albilabris	larvae from stages 23–25 <sup>8</sup>	0 to 12 ppt (32.4–37.5% sw = 14.8 g/L)	At 8 ppt, no <i>L. albilabris</i> metamorphosed and the larvae showed significant weight loss, but 40% of <i>R. marina</i> metamorphosed (accompanied by abnormalities).	<u>0</u>	Rios-Lopez, 2008
Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya cancrivora	larvae (unknown stages)	20 to 100% sw (100% sw = 930 mOsm/ L = 32 ppt)	Larvae were found in the field in highly saline ponds, but in the lab they did not metamorphose in > 20% sw.	SW	Gordon and Tucker, 1965
Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya cancrivora	larvae from stages 21–22 <sup>w</sup> , 24–25 <sup>w</sup> , and III–XVIII <sup>tk</sup>	0 to 32 ppt (100% sw = 980 mOsm/ L = 32 ppt)	Larvae were able to adapt to seawater when acclimation was carried out in steps. Younger larvae tolerated higher salinities than older larvae.	SW	Jchiyama and Yoshizawa, 1992
Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya cancrivora	larvae from stages X–XIV <sup>tk</sup>	0 to 100% sw (100% sw = 560 mM Cl)	At constant temperature, survival was $> 50\%$ for all treatments $< 100\%$ sw.	SW	Junson, 1977
Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya cancrivora and Hoplobatrachus tigerinus	adults, larvae, and fertilized embryos (unknown stages)	0 to 100% sw (in the field, 100% sw = 36 ppt; in the lab, 100% sw = 35 ppt)	In the field, adults and larvae tolerated salinities up to 39 ppt. But in the lab, embryo develop- ment was $10-50\%$ in $\ge 3.5$ ppt, with no or abnormal development above that level.	sw or sdss	Gordon et al., 1961
Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya limnocharis	larvae from stages 26–46 <sup>8</sup>	0 to 13 ppt	Larvae metamorphosed at smaller sizes in $\geq$ 9 ppt, with no metamorphosis above that level.	CL	Nu and Kam, 2009
Hylidae	Litoria aurea	larvae from stages 24–26 <sup>8</sup>	1 to 25% sw (100% sw = 35 g/L = 35 ppt)	The threshold for larval mortality was between $5.5\%$ and $10\%$ sw, but metamorphosis only occurred in $< 5.5\%$ sw.	<u>0</u>	Christy and Dickman, 2002

**Table 1.** Anuran Salinity Studies of Embryos and Larvae, with a Laboratory Component. Due to variation in the definition of 100% seawater (% sw) in the literature, the conversion to parts per thousand (ppt) is given where possible for those studies using % sw.

Family	Species	Developmental stage	Salinity treatments	Salinity effects	Saline source	Reference
Hylidae	Litoria ewingii	embryos from stages 22–23ª	0.14 to 5.6 g/L (16% sw)	Larvae in the highest salinity had lower survival, slower growth, and greater time to metamorphosis, but no difference in mass than freshwater controls. Larvae in low to moderate salinities had greater mass than freshwater controls.	C	Chinathamby et al., 2006
Hylidae	Litoria ewingii	larvae (four days after hatching)	0.4 to 15% sw (5.25 g/L)	During exposure to salinity, larvae grew slower and were smaller than freshwater controls. Upon return to freshwater, previously salt- exposed larvae grew faster than controls and ultimately did not differ in size.	CL	Squires et al., 2010
Hylidae, and Ranidae	Pseudacris crucifer and P. kalmi; Lithobates palustris, L. pipiens, L. sylvaticus, and L. virgatipes	embryos (unknown stages)	1.5, 2.5, and 3.5 g/L	Salinity caused abnormalities of morphology, locomotion, and activity rate at all treatment levels in the <i>Pseudacris</i> sp. But the <i>Lithobates</i> sp. were unaffected by the lowest treatment, and showed some normal development in the highest treatment.	Ť	Gosner and Black, 1957
Ranidae	Lithobates pipiens	adults and embryos (unknown stages)	1.75 to 9 ppt (100% sw = 35 ppt)	Salinity > 5 ppt was lethal to developing embryos, 3.8–4.6 ppt was semi-lethal, and < 3.8 ppt allowed embryonic development, although abnormalities occurred in > 2.5 ppt.	þr	Ruibal, 1959
Ranidae	Lithobates sylvaticus	embryos from stages 1–5 <sup>8</sup>	0.375 to 6.00 g/L and a control	Individuals added to salinity treatments as embryos exhibited higher mortality and slower growth rates at lower salinities than individuals added as larvae. For both groups, mean mass of larvae increased with salinity up to 4 ppt.	RR	Petranka and Doyle, 2010
Ranidae	Lithobates sylvaticus	larvae from stage 25 <sup>8</sup>	1.4, 3.5, and 5.6 g/L	Survivorship of larvae was dependent on concentration of NaCl, with mortality occurring sooner in higher concentrations.	NaCl cert	Langhans et al., 2009
Ranidae	Lithobates sylvaticus	larvae from stage 25 <sup>8</sup>	0 to 9.75 g/L	Acute and chronic exposure caused reduced activity, lower weight, and more physical abnormalities than controls. Chronic exposure also caused lower survivorship and decreased time to metamorphosis in higher compared to lower salinities.	SF	Sanzo and Hecnar, 2006
Ranidae	Pelophylax perezi	embryos from stages 10–11 <sup>8</sup>	0, 0.4, and 2 ppt (100% sw = 33 ppt)	Exposure of embryos to fertilizer was lethal only when also stressed by salinity. Fertilizer alone did not cause lethal effects for embryos naturally adapted to saline environments.	NaCl comm	Ortiz-Santaliestra et al., 2010

Table 1. Continued.

Family	Species	Developmental stage	Salinity treatments	Salinity effects	Saline source	Reference
Ranidae	Rana temporaria	embryos from stages 8–9 <sup>8</sup> in intact egg capsules	0.2 to 6.2 ppt	Later stages were more sensitive to sodium than earlier stages. All stages tolerated higher concentrations in the lab than adults selected	unk	/iertel, 1999
Rhacophoridae	Buergeria japonica	embryos within 8 h of egg deposition	0 to 5 ppt (100% sw = 33 ppt)	Tor spawning in the field. Salinity $> 1$ ppt caused lower survival rates of embryos, and no embryos hatched in $> 4$ ppt.	SW	Haramura, 2007
<sup>a</sup> Anstis, 2002 <sup>B</sup> Gosner, 1960 <sup>tt</sup> Taylor and Kollros <sup>w</sup> Witschi, 1956 Saline source abbre Synthetic Sea Salt, A comm) commercial Park, KS: (sdss) sun	, 1946 , utions: (br) brackish water fr quarium Systems Inc, Mentor, sodium chloride; (NaCI reag) re- dried seasalt: (SF) Sifto non-id	rom natural source; (CL) Coralife Ma , OH; (MS) Meersaltz, Aquacraft Prod eagent grade sodium chloride, J. T. Ba iodized coarse pickling salt. Canada Ir	arine Salt, Central Garden ucts, Hayward, CA; (NaCl c ker Co, Capitol Scientific, Ir nc Mississauga, Ontario: (	and Pet, Franklin, WI; (Hf) standard Holtfreter sol ert) NaCl, Certified for Biological Work, Fisher Scier c., Austin, TX; (RR) Road Runner Ice Melt, Scotwoo wv) seawater from natural source: (unk) unknowr	Iution; (It ntific, Pitt od Industr	<ul> <li>D) Instant Ocear</li> <li>D) Instant Ocear</li> <li>Sburgh, PA; (NaC</li> <li>Se, Inc., Overlanc</li> </ul>

7 41 7	1
0	2
2	2
4	2
6	2
8	-
10	-
10	4
ratios. As expect survival in 2 ppt 4956120.12, $P <$ estimates. The c $(\chi^2_{\rm H} = 0.65, P <$ $(\chi^2_{\rm H} = 0.42, P <$	red, we f were di < 0.001 odds were < 0.001) < 0.001)
significantly low	5.42, <i>P</i> < 0.001 ifferent ver in 6
Larval mortality selected for Pha 17 that died, al There was a sign ( $\chi^2 = 49.29$ , <i>P</i> between salinity metamorphosis surviving in 6 p The odds of su better in the loo odds ratio of between two the found a signifi between the ob The odds of 1 significantly loo combined.	2.—127 ase II su l but on nificant < 0.000 2 and th $(\chi^2 = 2$ pt (55.6 rvival to wer sali 1.0 ind reatmen cant di served co arvae s wer in
<i>Time to metamo</i> the 127 individ each from the 0 causes and were Results suggest mass and HLI (Fig. 1A–D). Tim lated with mass 0.001). When th no differences a 1.28, 0.83, and interaction effect ly, no different were shown for conclusion sug mass, SVL was However, elevat	<i>rphosis</i> uals that and 2 not ind that as decrea- ne, SVL, $(r^2 = 0.0$ hese var among 1.94, res- cts betw ces amo- SVL ( <i>F</i> gested s not s tions of

**Table 2.** Phase I Mean ( $\pm$  SD) Embryos per Container and TotalNumber of Embryos Hatched, with Number Died in Parentheses.

Salinity (ppt)	Embryos per container	Total embryos
0	22.1 + 1.54	193 (6)
2	21.3 + 1.22	191 (1)
4	22.0 + 1.73	189 (9)
6	22.6 + 2.30	151 (52)
8	21.8 + 1.30	0 (196)
10	21.7 + 1.50	0 (195)

ratios. As expected, we found that the odds ratios relative to survival in 2 ppt were different among the treatments ( $\chi^2_{\rm H}$  = 4956120.12, *P* < 0.001), and therefore we tested separate estimates. The odds were clearly the same in 8 and 10 ppt ( $\chi^2_{\rm H}$  = 0.65, *P* < 0.001), and were the same in 0 and 4 ppt ( $\chi^2_{\rm H}$  = 0.42, *P* < 0.001), but were different between 4 and 6 ppt ( $\chi^2_{\rm H}$  = 43.42, *P* < 0.001) and 6 and 8 ppt ( $\chi^2_{\rm H}$  = 1562126.05, *P* < 0.001). The odds of hatching were not significantly different in 0, 2, and 4 ppt, but were significantly lower in 6 ppt, and zero in 8 and 10 ppt.

(88.2%) larvae out of the 144 urvived to metamorphosis. Of the ne were from the 6 ppt treatment. effect of salinity on larval survival 01), and a significant linear trend e fraction of larvae that survived to (9.47, P < 0.001), with fewer larvae %) than in lower salinities (99.1%). o metamorphosis were 85.6 times inities combined than in 6 ppt. An licates no difference in outcomes nts (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995). We ifference ( $\chi^2 = 14.66, P < 0.001$ ) odds ratio and an odds ratio of 1.0. urviving to metamorphosis were 6 ppt relative to 0, 2, and 4 ppt

and metamorph body size .-- Four of at survived to metamorphosis (two ppt treatments) died of unknown cluded in the analysis of body size. salinity increased, time increased, ased, and SVL stayed the same and HLL were significantly corre-09, 0.41, and 0.31, respectively, P <riables were regressed against mass, groups for slope were found (F =spectively, P > 0.05), suggesting no veen salinity and mass. Additionalong salinity groups for elevation = 2.27, P > 0.05), supporting the by Fig. 1C that, independent of significantly affected by salinity. the regression lines for time (F =31.35, P < 0.001) and HLL (F = 4.29, P < 0.01) varied among groups and thus these variables were included in the MANOVA.

The MANOVA for time, mass, and HLL showed a significant effect of salinity (P < 0.001). Univariate ANOVAs

Table 1. Continued.



**Fig. 1.** Phase II mean ( $\pm$  SD) results for each of four variables: time to metamorphosis (A), mass (B), SVL (C), and HLL (D). SVL = snout-to-vent length; HLL = hind limb length.

showed that the effect was significant for each of these variables (P < 0.001), and calculated effect sizes showed that salinity had large effects on time ( $\eta^2 = 0.39$ ), mass ( $\eta^2 = 0.41$ ), and HLL ( $\eta^2 = 0.29$ ). Tukey's HSD test grouped the 0 and 2 ppt treatments, but kept separate the 4 ppt treatment and the 6 ppt treatment. Time to metamorphosis was significantly longer, mass was significantly lower, and HLL was significantly shorter in the 0 and 2 ppt treatments compared to 4 or 6 ppt.

#### DISCUSSION

The present study showed deleterious effects of salinity on hatching success and other parameters of *I. nebulifer* above 4 ppt, and a 100% lethal threshold for embryos between 6 and 8 ppt. Compared to some species, *I. nebulifer* exhibited a high mortality threshold for embryos. The mortality thresholds for embryos of *Buergeria japonica*, *Lithobates pipiens*, and *Rhinella marina* have been shown to be 4, 5, and 5.3 ppt, respectively (Ely, 1944; Ruibal, 1959; Haramura, 2007). The range of salinities in which metamorphosis will take place seems wider. Larvae in this study metamorphosed in 6 ppt, although survival was reduced. Comparatively, metamorphosis thresholds for larvae of *Litoria aurea* and *Fejervarya limnocharis* have been shown to be 1.9 and 9 ppt, respectively (Christy and Dickman, 2002; Wu and Kam, 2009).

For larval development, a threshold occurred in the present study between 2 and 4 ppt, when time to metamorphosis increased and mass and hind limb length decreased. Responses to salinity similar to these have been shown in other studies, but the pattern of slower development and lower mass is not entirely consistent in the literature. Epidalea calamita has been shown to respond to increased salinity with decreased survival, slower developmental and growth rates, and smaller juveniles entering terrestrial habitats (Gomez-Mestre and Tejedo, 2003). However, this species has also been shown to metamorphose at the same mass in higher salinities (2.9 to 4.8 ppt) compared to very low salinity (0.14 ppt; Gomez-Mestre et al., 2004). Lithobates sylvaticus also exhibited the same mass in different treatments, but developed more quickly in response to small increases in salt concentration from 0 to 1 ppt (Sanzo and Hecnar, 2006). Petranka and Doyle (2010) also found that the mean mass of larvae of L. sylvaticus was positively correlated with salt concentration between 0 and 4 ppt. Larvae of Litoria ewingii grew more slowly, took longer to metamorphose, and had higher mortality during salinity stress compared to controls (Chinathamby et al., 2006; Squires et al., 2010). But, L. ewingii were also found to exhibit higher mass in low to moderate salinities (1.4 to 4.2 ppt) compared to no or high (5.6 ppt) salinity (Chinathamby et al., 2006). Anurans may exhibit compensatory growth after salinity stress as shown by larvae of L. ewingii that grew slower during transient exposure to salinities up to approximately 5.3 ppt, then grew faster during the recovery phase, exhibiting no difference in mass upon metamorphosis compared to controls (Squires et al., 2010). The ability to compensate after a temporary period of stress makes sense for species that breed in temporary water bodies and are under selective pressure to use recent growth history as a cue to metamorphic timing (Wilber and Collins, 1973).

Response to salinity stress is stage-specific due to changes in, for example, gill structure, liver function, and hormone concentrations (Uchiyama and Yoshizawa, 1992; Gomez-Mestre et al., 2004; Wright et al., 2004). Viertel (1999) found that larvae of Rana temporaria at Gosner stages 20 to 23 were more sensitive to salinity concentration than earlier stages, though they were exposed for a shorter time period. Larvae of Fejervarya cancrivora at the external gill stages (Witschi stages 21-22) were able to survive up to 14 ppt, but advanced larvae with internal gills (Taylor and Kollros stages I-XVIII) were able to survive 17.5 ppt (Uchiyama and Yoshizawa, 1992). Larvae can often survive in higher salinity concentrations that those in which they can metamorphose. In an earlier study of F. cancrivora, larvae did not metamorphose in greater than 7 ppt (Gordon and Tucker, 1965). And Christy and Dickman (2002) found that larvae of Litoria aurea could survive in up to 3.5 ppt, but required less than 2 ppt to metamorphose.

In the present study, embryos of I. nebulifer exhibited deleterious effects of increased salinity at 6 ppt, while larvae and juveniles showed effects at 4 ppt. The only response we measured for embryos was survival, and it is not surprising that lethal effects would be observed at higher salinities than non-lethal effects on development and growth. Somewhat different results might have been found if individuals were first exposed to detrimental salinities at different stages of development. For example, Petranka and Doyle (2010) found higher mortality and slower growth of *Lithobates sylvaticus* at lower salinity levels for individuals introduced to the treatments as embryos than for those introduced as larvae. Furthermore, the eggs used in the present study were oviposited in fresh water. Chinathamby et al. (2006) hypothesized that the lack of immediately apparent negative effects of salinity on latestage embryos of Litoria ewingii in 5 ppt could be due to eggs oviposited in fresh water absorbing a relatively saltfree solution into the egg mass prior to the salinity treatment.

Salinity effects vary among taxonomic groups. Gosner and Black (1957) found that salinity caused abnormalities of morphology, locomotion, and activity rate at all treatment levels (from 1.5 to 3.5 ppt) in two species of Hylidae (Pseudacris crucifer and P. kalmi), but four species of Ranidae (Lithobates palustris, L. pipiens, L. sylvaticus, and L. virgatipes) were unaffected by the lowest concentration, and showed some normal development in the highest concentration. Karraker and Ruthig (2009) also found that embryos of Lithobates clamitans were "relatively insensitive" to treatments of road salt compared to embryos of Ambystoma maculatum. And while larvae of two microhylids (Kaloula pulchra and Microhyla ornata) exhibited decreased size and survival above 4.4 ppt, larvae of three non-microhylids (F. limnocharis, Bufo melanostictus, and Polypedates megacephalus) showed no effects of salinity up to 6.6 ppt (Karraker et al., 2010).

Salinity effects sometimes vary even among closely related groups. Adults and larvae of saline tolerant *F. cancrivora* were found to tolerate environmental salinities as high as 28–39 ppt, but the closely related *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus* was found to be a "normal fresh-water frog" in overall salinity tolerance, osmoregulatory responses, and response to desiccation (Gordon et al., 1961). And, Smith et al. (2007) found variation between species within the same family in site occupancy relative to salinity, with a 50% probability of occupancy occurring at 3.5 ppt for *Limnodynastes dumerilii*, while *Limnodynastes peronei* achieved 50% occupancy only at approximately 2.1 ppt.

*Incilius nebulifer* is likely to encounter salinization in the ephemeral water sources of coastal habitats along the southern United States down into Central America, and farther inland in areas subject to urban and industrial development. The population sampled for this study had likely not been exposed to high concentrations of salinity in the field. Differences in response might be expected in a brackish water population. Gomez-Mestre and Tejedo (2003) found that larvae of *E. calamita* sampled from brackish water ponds had higher salinity tolerance than those sampled from freshwater. However, they also found that all populations shared the same upper limit of 10 ppt.

Although *I. nebulifer* is considered somewhat salinetolerant (Dundee and Rossman, 1989), results of the present study found deleterious effects of relatively low salinities on survival, development, and growth of embryos, larvae, and juveniles. In nature these effects can impact distribution and abundance. In Australian wetlands, Smith et al. (2007) found that larvae species diversity dropped rapidly above approximately 2 ppt, and was zero above approximately 4 ppt. In northern Puerto Rico, Rios-Lopez (2008) found that abundance of *Leptodactylus albilabris* decreased with increasing salinity along an inland-to-coastal gradient, while abundance of the co-occurring *R. marina* concomitantly increased with increasing salinity.

The present study found that higher salinities resulted in lighter metamorphs with shorter hind limbs. Muscle mass and hind limb length are important characteristics for predicting locomotory speed in anuran amphibians (Choi et al., 2003). Phillips et al. (2006) linked hind limb length to locomotion and dispersal ability in invasive toads (*R. marina*), and concluded that anurans with longer legs have improved dispersal ability. Therefore, an environmental stressor that results in shorter hind limbs for juveniles entering the terrestrial environment may well reduce their ability to disperse.

Salinity effects can also impact individual reproductive success (Leips and Travis, 1994; Bridges and Semlitsch, 2000; Boorse and Denver, 2004). Semlitsch et al. (1988) found that the timing of and size at metamorphosis of juvenile *Ambystoma talpoideum* were directly correlated with size and age of adults at first reproduction. Similarly, *Pseudacris triseriata* that metamorphosed later or at smaller size were less likely to return to the natal pond to breed the first year after metamorphosis (Smith, 1987), reducing their lifetime opportunities for reproduction.

The present study suggests that exposure of early life stages of *I. nebulifer* to salinities above 2 ppt, whether due to natural or anthropogenic events, will increase early mortality, reduce adult fitness, and have an overall negative effect on their distribution and abundance. Even considering the variation inherent in salinity tolerance among taxonomic groups and populations, extending our results suggest that small changes in salinity at critical life stages may affect survival, fitness, distribution, and abundance of many anurans worldwide.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The following people are gratefully acknowledged for assistance with laboratory procedures and animal husbandry: J. Cook, J. Hobson, W. Hobson, K. Kandl, N. Leonard, M. Linares, C. Mayence, T. Schriever, N. Thurgate, J. Travis, and L. Vogel. Thanks to J. Grady and C. Penz for comments on earlier drafts of this manuscript. This study was conducted under approval of IACUC Protocol # UNO-82. Species names follow those used in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, version 2011.1 (http://www.iucnredlist.org).

### LITERATURE CITED

- Allen, J. A., J. L. Chambers, and D. McKinney. 1994. Intraspecific variation in the response of *Taxodium distichum* seedlings to salinity. Forest Ecology and Management 70:203–214.
- Andren, C., and G. Nilson. 1985. Habitat and other environmental characteristics of the natterjack toad (*Bufo calamita* Laur.) in Sweden. British Journal of Herpetology 6:419–424.
- Anstis, M. 2002. Tadpoles of Southeastern Australia: A Guide with Keys. Reed New Holland, Sydney.
- **Beebee**, T. J. C. 1979. A review of scientific information pertaining to the natterjack toad *Bufo calamita* throughout its geographical range. Biological Conservation 16: 107–134.
- Blaustein, A. R., and J. M. Kiesecker. 2002. Complexity in conservation: lessons from the global decline of amphibian populations. Ecology Letters 5:597–608.
- Boorse, G. C., and R. J. Denver. 2004. Endocrine mechanisms underlying plasticity in metamorphic timing in spadefoot toads. Integrative and Comparative Biology 43:646–657.
- **Bridges, C. M., and R. D. Semlitsch**. 2000. Variation in pesticide tolerance of tadpoles among and within species of Ranidae and patterns of amphibian decline. Biological Conservation 14:1490–1499.
- Chinathamby, K. A., R. D. Reina, P. C. E. Bailey, and B. K. Lees. 2006. Effects of salinity on the survival, growth and development of tadpoles of the brown tree frog, *Litoria ewingii*. Australian Journal of Zoology 54:97–105.
- Choi, I., J. H. Shim, and R. E. Ricklefs. 2003. Morphometric relationships of take-off speed in anuran amphibians. Journal of Experimental Zoology 299A:99–102.
- Christman, S. P. 1974. Geographic variation for salt water tolerance in the frog *Rana sphenocephala*. Copeia 1974: 773–778.
- Christy, M. T., and C. R. Dickman. 2002. Effects of salinity on tadpoles of the green and golden bell frog (*Litoria aurea*). Amphibia–Reptilia 23:1–11.
- **Cohen, J.** 1988. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. Second edition. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, New Jersey.
- Doyle, T. W., W. H. Conner, R. H. Day, K. W. Krauss, and C. M. Swarzenski. 2007. Wind damage and salinity effects of hurricanes Katrina and Rita on coastal bald cypress forests of Louisiana, p. 163–168. *In*: Science and the Storms—The USGS Response to the Hurricanes of 2005. G. S. Farris, G. J. Smith, M. P. Crane, C. R. Demas, L. L. Robbins, and D. L. Lavoie (eds.). U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1306, Version 1.0, posted October 2007, http:// pubs.usgs.gov/circ/1306/
- **Dundee**, H. A., and D. A. Rossman. 1989. The Amphibians and Reptiles of Louisiana. Louisiana State University Press, Baton Rouge and London.
- **Dunson**, W. A. 1977. Tolerance to high temperature and salinity by tadpoles of the Philippine frog, *Rana cancrivora*. Copeia 1977:375–378.
- Ely, C. A. 1944. Development of *Bufo marinus* larvae in dilute sea water. Copeia 1944:256.

- Gomez-Mestre, I., and M. Tejedo. 2003. Local adaptation of an anuran amphibian to osmotically stressful environments. Evolution 57:1889–1899.
- **Gomez-Mestre, I., and M. Tejedo.** 2005. Adaptation or exaptation? An experimental test of hypotheses on the origin of salinity tolerance in *Bufo calamita*. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 18:847–855.
- Gomez-Mestre, I., M. Tejedo, E. Ramayo, and J. Estepa. 2004. Developmental alterations and osmoregulatory physiology of a larval anuran under osmotic stress. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology 77:267–274.
- Gordon, M. S., K. Schmidt-Nielsen, and H. M. Kelly. 1961. Osmotic regulation in the crab-eating frog (*Rana cancrivora*). Journal of Experimental Biology 38:659–678.
- **Gordon, M. S., and V. A. Tucker**. 1965. Osmotic regulation in the tadpoles of the crab-eating frog (*Rana cancrivora*). Journal of Experimental Biology 42:437–445.
- **Gosner, K. L.** 1960. A simplified table for staging anuran embryos and larvae with notes on identification. Herpetologica 16:183–190.
- **Gosner, K. L., and I. H. Black**. 1957. The effects of acidity on the development and hatching of New Jersey frogs. Ecology 38:256–262.
- Hammerson, G., and L. Canseco-Márquez. 2004. *Incilius nebulifer. In:* IUCN 2011. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2011.1. <www.iucnredlist.org> Downloaded on 23 June 2011.
- Haramura, T. 2007. Salinity tolerance of eggs of *Buergeria japonica* (Amphibia, Anura) inhabiting coastal areas. Zoological Science 24:820–823.
- Haramura, T. 2008. Experimental test of spawning site selection by *Buergeria japonica* (Anura: Rhacophoridae) in response to salinity level. Copeia 2008:64–67.
- Karraker, N. E., J. Arrigoni, and D. Dudgeon. 2010. Effects of increased salinity and an introduced predator on lowland amphibians in Southern China: species identity matters. Biological Conservation 143:1079–1086.
- Karraker, N. E., and G. R. Ruthig. 2009. Effect of road deicing salt on the susceptibility of amphibian embryos to infection by water molds. Environmental Research 109:40–45.
- Kefford, B. J., P. J. Papas, L. Metzeling, and D. Nugegoda. 2004. Do laboratory salinity tolerances of freshwater animals correspond with their field salinity? Environmental Pollution 129:355–362.
- Langhans, M., B. Peterson, A. Walker, G. R. Smith, and J. E. Rettig. 2009. Effects of salinity on survivorship of wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*) tadpoles. Journal of Freshwater Ecology 24:335–337.
- Leips, J., and J. Travis. 1994. Metamorphic responses to changing food levels in two species of hylid frogs. Ecology 75:1345–1356.
- Mulcahy, D. G., and J. R. Mendelson. 2000. Phylogeography and speciation of the morphologically variable, widespread species *Bufo valliceps*, based on molecular evidence from mtDNA. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 17:173–189.
- Munsey, L. D. 1972. Salinity tolerance of the African pipid frog, *Xenopus laevis*. Copeia 1972:584–586.
- Neiheisel, T. W., and M. E. Young. 1992. Use of three artificial sea salts to maintain fertile sea urchins (*Arbacia punctulata*) and to conduct fertilization tests with copper and sodium dodecyl sulfate. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 11:1179–1185.

- Noland, R., and G. R. Ultsch. 1981. The roles of temperature and dissolved oxygen in microhabitat selection by the tadpoles of a frog (*Rana pipiens*) and a toad (*Bufo terrestris*). Copeia 1981:645–652.
- Odum, W. E. 1988. Comparative ecology of tidal freshwater and salt marshes. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 19:147–176.
- Ortiz-Santaliestra, M. E., M. J. Fernandez-Beneitez, M. Lizana, and A. Marco. 2010. Adaptation to osmotic stress provides protection against ammonium nitrate in *Pelophylax perezi* embryos. Environmental Pollution 158: 934–940.
- Pardue, J. H., W. M. Moe, D. McInnis, L. J. Thibodeaux, K. T. Valsaraj, E. Maciasz, I. Van Heerden, N. Korevec, and Q. Z. Yuan. 2005. Chemical and microbiological parameters in New Orleans floodwater following Hurricane Katrina. Environmental Science and Technology 39:8591–8599.
- Peterson, A. T., M. A. Ortega-Huerta, J. Bartley, V. Sanchez-Cordero, J. Soberon, R. H. Buddemeier, and D. R. B. Stockwell. 2002. Future projections for Mexican faunas under global climate change scenarios. Nature 416:626–629.
- **Petranka, J. W., and E. J. Doyle.** 2010. Effects of road salts on the composition of seasonal pond communities: Can the use of road salts enhance mosquito recruitment? Aquatic Ecology 44:155–166.
- Phillips, B. L., G. P. Brown, J. K. Webb, and R. Shine. 2006. Invasion and the evolution of speed in toads. Nature 439:803.
- **Rios-Lopez**, **N.** 2008. Effects of increased salinity on tadpoles of two anurans from a Caribbean coastal wetland in relation to their natural abundance. Amphibia–Reptilia 29:7–18.
- **Ruibal**, **R**. 1959. The ecology of a brackish water population of *Rana pipiens*. Copeia 1959:315–322.
- Sanzo, D., and S. J. Hecnar. 2006. Effects of road de-icing salt (NaCl) on larval wood frogs (*Rana sylvatica*). Environmental Pollution 140:247–256.
- Semlitsch, R. D., D. E. Scott, and J. H. K. Pechmann. 1988. Time and size at metamorphosis related to adult fitness in *Ambystoma talpoideum*. Ecology 69:184–192.
- Sikora, W. B., and B. Kjerfve. 1985. Factors influencing the salinity regime of Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana, a shallow coastal lagoon: analysis of a long-term data set. Estuaries 8:170–180.
- Sinsch, U. 1990. Migration and orientation in anuran amphibians. Ethology, Ecology & Evolution 2:65–79.

- Smith, D. C. 1987. Adult recruitment in chorus frogs: effects of size and date at metamorphosis. Ecology 68: 344–350.
- Smith, M. J., E. S. G. Schreiber, M. P. Scroggie, M. Kohout, K. Ough, J. Potts, R. Lennie, D. Turnbull, C. H. Jin, and T. Clancy. 2007. Associations between anuran tadpoles and salinity in a landscape mosaic of wetlands impacted by secondary salinization. Freshwater Biology 52:75–84.
- Sokal, R. R., and F. J. Rohlf. 1995. Biometry. Third edition. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York.
- Squires, Z. E., P. C. E. Bailey, R. D. Reina, and B. B. M. Wong. 2010. Compensatory growth in tadpoles after transient salinity stress. Marine and Freshwater Research 61:219–222.
- Stuart, S. N., J. S. Chanson, N. A. Cox, B. E. Young, A. S. L. Rodrigues, D. L. Fischman, and R. W. Waller. 2004. Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. Science 306:1783–1786.
- **Taylor**, **A. C.**, **and J. J. Kollros**. 1946. Stages in the normal development of *Rana pipiens* larvae. The Anatomical Record 94:7–23.
- Uchiyama, M., and H. Yoshizawa. 1992. Salinity tolerance and structure of external and internal gills in tadpoles of the crab-eating frog, *Rana cancrivora*. Cell & Tissue Research 267:35–44.
- Viertel, B. 1999. Salt tolerance of *Rana temporaria*: spawning site selection and survival during embryonic development (Amphibia, Anura). Amphibia–Reptilia 20:161–171.
- Werner, E. E., R. A. Relyea, K. L. Yurewicz, D. K. Skelly, and C. J. Davis. 2009. Comparative landscape dynamics of two anuran species: climate-driven interaction of local and regional processes. Ecological Monographs 79:503–521.
- Wilber, H. M., and J. P. Collins. 1973. Ecological aspects of amphibian metamorphosis. Science 182:1305–1314.
- Witschi, E. 1956. Development of Vertebrates. WB Saunders Company, Philadelphia.
- Wright, P., P. Anderson, L. Weng, N. Frick, W. P. Wong, and Y. K. Ip. 2004. The crab-eating frog, *Rana cancrivora*, up-regulates hepatic carbamoyl phosphate synthetase I activity and tissue osmolyte levels in response to increased salinity. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Comparative Experimental Biology 301A: 559–568.
- Wu, C. S., and Y. C. Kam. 2009. Effects of salinity on the survival, growth, development, and metamorphosis of *Fejervarya limnocharis* tadpoles living in brackish water. Zoological Science 26:476–482.